MANAGEMENT OF WATERHEMP WITH SOIL-APPLIED FOLLOWED BY POSTEMERGENCE HERBICIDES IN ROUNDUP READY® SUGARBEET AT MOORHEAD, MN IN 2013

Aaron L. Carlson

Sugarbeet Research Specialist Plant Science Department, North Dakota State University – University of Minnesota, Fargo, ND

The objective of this study was to determine the effectiveness of soil-applied followed by postemergence herbicides on control of glyphosate-resistant and -susceptible waterhemp populations and the impact on sugarbeet yield and extractable sucrose.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plot area was worked with a Kongskilde 's-tine' field cultivator equipped with rolling baskets on May 17, 2013. 'Hilleshog 4022RR' sugarbeet was seeded 1.25 inches deep in 22 inch rows at 60,825 seeds per acre on May 17. Sugarbeet was treated with Tachigaren and Poncho Beta at 45 grams and 5.07 fl oz of product, respectively, per 100,000 seeds. Counter 20G insecticide at 8.9 pounds product per acre was applied in a 5-inch band and drag chain incorporated at planting. Herbicide treatments were applied May 17, June 12, and July 2 & 17. All treatments were applied with a bicycle sprayer in 17 gpa spray solution through 8002 XR flat fan nozzles pressurized with CO₂ at 40 psi to the center four rows of six row plots 30 feet in length. Preplant-incorporated (PPI) treatments were incorporated 1.5 inches deep with a John Deere 8-foot 's-tine' field cultivator equipped with a spring-tooth harrow. Quadris was broadcast at 16 fl oz/A June 13 to prevent Rhizoctonia root rot. Cercospora leaf spot was controlled with Proline at 5.7 fl oz/A and Headline EC at 9 fl oz/A broadcast July 29 and August 19, respectively. Sugarbeet was harvested September 26 from the center two rows of each plot and weighed. Twenty to thirty pounds of sugarbeet was collected from each plot and analyzed for quality at American Crystal Sugar Quality Lab, East Grand Forks, MN.

Sugarbeet stand was counted in the center two rows of plots on June 18, July 11, and September 26. Sugarbeet injury was evaluated on June 12, July 30, and August 13. Waterhemp control was evaluated June 12, July 30, August 13, and September 4. All evaluations were a visual estimate of percent fresh weight reduction in the four treated rows compared to the adjacent untreated strip. Experimental design was randomized complete block with 4 replications. Data were analyzed with the ANOVA procedure of Agriculture Research Manager, version 8.5.0 software package.

Table 1. Application Information

Application code	A	В	C	D	E
Date	May 17	May 17	June 12	July 2	July 17
Time of Day	10:00 A	12:30 P	12:00 P	12:45 P	10:00 A
Air Temperature (F)	72	75	74	84	87
Relative Humidity (%)	39	32	65	32	63
Wind Velocity (mph)	2	3	3	4	2
Wind Direction	SE	SE	NE	NE	N
Soil Temp. (F at 6")	57	57	65	78	74
Soil Moisture	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Cloud Cover	60	80	98	40	15
Sugarbeet stage (avg)	PPI	PRE	2 lf	10 lf	15 lf
Waterhemp (untreated avg)	-	-	2 lf	18 inch	24 inch

SUMMARY

Three applications of Roundup PowerMax (glyphosate; 4.5 lbae/gal) gave 53% waterhemp control at the September 4 evaluation. This level of control indicates the presence of glyphosate-resistant waterhemp. The addition of Betamix (desmedipham + phenmedipham; 0.65 + 0.65 lbai/gal), Ethofumesate 4SC (ethofumesate; 4 lbai/gal), and Destiny HC (a high surfactant methylated seed oil concentrate) to glyphosate increased waterhemp control to 83%. The addition of Outlook (dimethanamid-p; 6 lbai/gal) to the PowerMax+Ethofumesate+Betamix tank-mix improved waterhemp control in some treatments but not in others. Outlook must be applied prior to waterhemp emergence to provide any control. Outlook may have been applied too late in this study to show a consistent benefit from the lay-by herbicide. When combined across all postemergence (POST) combinations, PRE Dual Magnum (s-metolachlor; 7.62 lbai/gal) gave the greatest waterhemp control of 92% at both 1.0 and 1.5 pt/a. When combined across all POST combinations, waterhemp control in the absence of a soil applied herbicide was 72%. Ro-Neet SB (cycloate; 6 lbai/gal) at 5.6 pt/a and Ethofumesate 4SC (ethofumesate; 4 lb ai/gal) at 7.5 pt/a each gave 82% waterhemp control when averaged across all POST combinations.

Sugarbeet injury was observed from PRE Dual Magnum at both 1.0 and 1.5 pt/a rates. The greatest injury, 16%, was observed for the 1.5 pt/a rate when combinded across all POST combinations. Sugarbeet stand was also reduced from Dual Magnum at 1.5 pt/a compared to any other soil applied herbicide when combined across all POST combinations. Dual Magnum, when applied PRE at 1.0 pt/a, did not affect sugarbeet stand. No significant sugarbeet injury or stand reduction was observed from Ro-Neet SB or Ethofumesate 4SC at any rate tested. The addition of Betamix POST resulted in significant sugarbeet injury at the July 30 evaluation. However, this injury did not appear to affect sugarbeet yield or quality. Sugarbeet extractable sucrose yield was greater when the highest rate tested of each of Dual Magnum, Ro-Neet SB, and Ethofumesate 4SC was followed by three PowerMax applications, compared to three PowerMax applications alone. The addition of Ethofumesate at 4 fl oz/a to each PowerMax application also increased extractable sucrose compared to PowerMax alone.

 $Table \ 2. \ Management \ of \ Waterhemp \ with \ Soil-Applied \ Followed \ by \ Postemergence \ Herbicides \ in \ Roundup \ Ready @ \ Sugarbeet - Moorhead, \ MN-2013 \ (Carlson)$

			June 12		July 30		Aug 13		Sept 4	Jun 18 Jul 1			September 26		<u> </u>	
	Treatment	Rate	Appl	sgbt	wahe	sgbt	wahe	sgbt	wahe	wahe	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt
No	Name	Rate Unit	Code	inj	cntl	inj	cntl	inj	cntl	cntl	stand	stand	stand	yield		ext suci
							%					o. / 100		ton/a	%	lb/a
1		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		0	0	0	52	0	51	53	173	163	155	22.5	13.8	5164
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
	NIS	0.25 % v/v														
2		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		0	0	0	70	0	74	70	183	179	155	29.1	13.7	6595
	Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
_	Destiny HC		CDE													
3		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		0	0	7	87	1	87	83	185	179	181	27.9	13.9	6554
		10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
	Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
_	Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
4		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		0	0	7	87	0	83	81	183	187	176	29.2	14.0	6906
		10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
	Outlook	21 fl oz/a														
	Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
_	Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE								101	150	1.0	220	100	
5	Ro-Neet SB	3.6 pt/a	A	1	68	0	69	0	69	66	186	178	160	23.9	13.9	5675
		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
_	NIS	0.25 % v/v									101					=110
6	Ro-Neet SB	3.6 pt/a	A C/D/E	0	54	0	84	0	83	82	181	175	166	31.1	13.7	7110
		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
	Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
7	Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE	3	56	7	89	1	9.6	02	1.00	1.65	150	20.0	12.6	(222
/	Ro-Neet SB	3.6 pt/a	A C/D/E	3	30	/	89	1	86	83	169	165	150	28.0	13.6	6323
		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a 10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
	Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
	Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
8	Ro-Neet SB	3.6 pt/a	A	0	59	7	93	0	94	91	182	178	159	29.9	13.7	6833
o		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		U	39	,	93	U	74	91	102	170	139	23.3	13.7	0033
		10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
	Outlook	21 fl oz/a														
	Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
	Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
9	Ro-Neet SB	5.3 pt/a	A	1	75	0	72	0	69	70	174	183	163	28.0	13.8	6443
,		32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		1	13	U	12	Ü	0)	70	1/7	103	103	20.0	13.0	0773
	N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
	NIS	0.25 % v/v														
	1,10	0.23 /0 V/V	ر کا ک													

Table~2.~Management~of~Waterhemp~with~Soil-Applied~Followed~by~Postemergence~Herbicides~in~Roundup~Ready &~Sugarbeet-Moorhead,~MN-2013~(Carlson)

				e 12		y 30		g 13		Jun 18		,	Septen		
Trt Treatment	Rate	Appl	sgbt	wahe	sgbt	wahe	sgbt	wahe	wahe	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt
No Name	Rate Unit	Code	inj	cntl	inj	cntl	inj	cntl	cntl	stand		stand	yield		ext sucr
						%					o. / 100		ton/a	%	lb/a
10 Ro-Neet SB	5.3 pt/a	A	0	60	0	82	0	84	80	180	176	166	31.6	13.5	7081
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
11 Ro-Neet SB	5.3 pt/a	Α	5	76	6	96	0	93	87	154	160	144	29.9	13.4	6644
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE								4=0			20.7		
12 Ro-Neet SB	5.3 pt/a	A C/D/E	1	71	7	97	0	97	91	179	167	162	29.5	13.2	6445
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Outlook	21 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE	3	70	0	97		81	90	1.62	1.61	1.00	21.0	12.5	7004
13 Dual Magnum		B C/D/E	3	78	0	87	0	81	80	163	161	168	31.9	13.5	7084
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS NIS	2.5 % v/v 0.25 % v/v														
			4	70	0	97	0	06	06	170	1.62	150	22.6	140	7705
14 Dual Magnum		B C/D/E	4	79	0	97	U	96	96	170	163	158	32.6	14.0	7795
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate N Pak AMS	4 fl oz/a 2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	2.5 % V/V 1.5 pt/a	CDE													
15 Dual Magnum		В	7	71	7	97	1	97	95	162	152	151	28.9	13.3	6330
	1 pva 2 32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		,	/1	,	91	1	91	93	102	132	131	20.9	13.3	0330
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	2.5 % V/V 1.5 pt/a	CDE													
16 Dual Magnum		В	6	86	8	98	1	97	97	154	145	144	30.5	14.0	7228
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		U	80	o	90	1	91	91	154	143	144	30.3	14.0	1220
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Outlook	21 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
17 Dual Magnum		В	14	79	0	84	0	85	82	150	160	142	30.1	13.8	6929
Č	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		14	1)	U	04	U	03	02	150	100	142	30.1	13.0	0727
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
NIS	0.25 % v/v														
18 Dual Magnum		В	18	86	0	94	0	95	92	143	124	122	30.7	13.4	6749
•	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		10	80	U	74	U)3)2	143	124	122	30.7	13.4	0/4/
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
19 Dual Magnum		В	16	81	8	95	0	97	95	147	152	143	28.7	13.6	6424
	1.5 pt/a 32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		10	01	o	75	J	71)5	17/	134	170	20.7	15.0	UT2 T
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
Desility ITC	1.5 pv a	CDE													

 $Table\ 2.\ Management\ of\ Waterhemp\ with\ Soil-Applied\ Followed\ by\ Postemergence\ Herbicides\ in\ Roundup\ Ready \&\ Sugarbeet-Moorhead,\ MN-2013\ (Carlson)$

Moornead, MIN – 2013 (Carison)		June 12		July 30		Aug 13		Sept 4 Jun 18				September 26		5	
Trt Treatment	Data	A nnl		wahe		wahe		wahe				aght	_		
	Rate Rate Unit	Appl Code	sgbt		sgbt ini		sgbt			sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt	sgbt
No Name	Rate Unit	Code	inj	cntl	ш	cntl	inj	cntl	cntl		stand	stand	yield		ext sucr
20. Dual Maanum	1 5 mt/o	D	16	84	0	%	0	00	00		o. / 100		ton/a	% 12.2	lb/a
20 Dual Magnum	1.5 pt/a	B C/D/E	16	84	8	98	0	99	98	139	138	135	29.0	13.3	6361
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Outlook	21 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
21 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	Α	0	63	0	79	0	80	78	158	166	135	27.6	13.1	5910
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
NIS	0.25 % v/v	CDE													
22 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	0	63	0	82	0	83	82	163	167	161	30.7	13.0	6517
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
23 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	0	59	7	87	0	86	82	173	183	163	30.2	13.6	6743
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
24 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	2	64	7	94	1	93	89	180	173	166	28.1	13.8	6410
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Outlook	21 fl oz/a	ı D													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
25 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a	A	1	70	0	79	0	71	73	176	182	163	31.1	13.6	6985
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		-	, 0	Ü		Ü	, -	, 0	1,0	102	100	0111	10.0	0,00
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
NIS	0.25 % v/v														
26 Ethofumesate	$\frac{0.23 \% \%}{7.5 \text{ pt/a}}$	A	0	80	0	92	0	90	85	185	169	165	32.1	13.7	7284
	7.5 pt/a 32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		U	00	U)2	U	70	0.5	103	10)	103	32.1	13.7	1204
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	2.5 % V/V 1.5 pt/a	CDE													
			Λ	76	0	90	0	07	0.1	105	176	166	200	140	6060
27 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a 32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a		0	76	9	89	0	87	84	185	176	166	28.9	14.0	6868
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE	1	<i>(5</i>	0	02	0	0.5	0.0	172	150	1.00	20.2	12.6	6507
28 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a	A C/D/F	1	65	8	93	0	95	88	173	159	160	29.2	13.6	6597
	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a														
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a														
Outlook	21 fl oz/a														
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a														
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v														
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
29 Untreated Che			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	174	-	21	0.0	0.0	0
	LSD 5%		6.8	12.7	3.1	9.6	NS	10.0	10.8	24.1	26.3	26.6	3.72	0.76	935
	CV %	ó	143	15	64	8	491	9	10	10	11	12	9	4	10