#### TURKEY LITTER EFFECTS ON SUGAR BEET PRODUCTION

John Lamb, Mark Bredehoeft, and Chris Dunsmore University of Minnesota and Southern Minnesota Beet Sugar Cooperative

Livestock operations, mainly poultry and swine, are increasing in size and impact in the Southern Minnesota sugar beet growing area. Many sugar beet producers own or have interest in these operations; thus have manure available to use on their fields. Manure research data concludes that manure has a positive effect on crop production from its effects on soil nutrient availability and soil physical properties. A concern has been raised about the effect of late season nitrogen mineralized from the manure on sugar beet quality. Grower observations indicate better growth in fields that have had manure applied. With the large amount of manure available, the question has changed from whether to use manure but when in the sugar beet crop rotation should manure be applied to minimize quality concerns and realize benefits? Turkey manure has a considerable amount of litter in it, thus slowing initial release of poultry manure-N. The implication of the manure-N release is critical, especially to sugar beet growers. Therefore, recommendations need to be evaluated with sugar beets. This research project has been designed to: 1) determine when in a three-year rotation, should turkey litter be applied and 2) determine nitrogen fertilizer equivalent of turkey litter applied two and three years in advance of sugar beet production.

#### Materials and Methods

To meet the objectives of this experiment, the first of three sites was established near Raymond, Minnesota in the fall of 2006. A second site was established in the fall of 2007 near Olivia, Minnesota and a third site was established near Bird Island in 2009. The Bird Island site was lost because of an errant manure application by the cooperator. A four site was established near Clara City, MN in the fall of 2009.

The Raymond site was cropped to soybean in 2007. Turkey manure was applied fall 2006 and soybean grain yields were harvested by a plot combine and soil samples taken in the fall of 2007. The treatments for the second year were applied to the first site near Raymond in the fall of 2007 with corn grown in 2008. The corn was harvested, soil samples taken, and the third year treatments were applied late fall 2008 and sugar beet was grown in 2009.

The second site near Olivia, Minnesota had the first manure treatment applied in the fall of 2007 with soybean grown in 2008. The soybeans were harvested with a research combine, soil samples taken, and the second year's treatments were applied fall 2008. Corn was grown in 2009 and hand harvested for grain yield fall 2009. After corn harvest, soil samples were taken and the third year treatments were applied and sugar beet was grown in 2010.

The fourth site near Clara City, Minnesota was cropped to dry edible beans in 2010 by request of the grower. The dry beans were hand harvested in the fall of 2010 and the turkey litter treatments of 3 and 6 tons were applied after harvest. Corn was grown in 2011 and hand harvested for grain yield fall 2011. In the fall of 2011, the last litter and fertilizer N treatments were applied and sugar beet grown in 2012.

At each site of this study there were five replications of the treatments listed in Table 1. Turkey litter treatments of 3 and 6 tons per acres were applied 2 and 3 years ahead of sugar beet production in the three year rotation of soybean (dry bean)/corn/sugar beet. This rotation is the most common rotation in the Southern Minnesota Sugar Cooperative growing area. Treatment 5 is the check treatment for the whole experiment while treatments 8 and 15 are checks for different parts of the rotation. Treatments 6 through 14 are the N fertilizer rates plus the two turkey litter rate applied the fall before the sugar beet production year. During the corn production year, 120 lb N per acre will be applied for treatments 6 through 14. This is the current U of MN N guideline for corn following soybean. In the soybean production year, grain yield was measured with a research combine. Soil samples were taken in fall to a

depth of 4 feet and analyzed for nitrate-N while soil samples to a 6 inch depth were analyzed for phosphorous, potassium, organic matter, and pH. The year 2 manure and fertilizer treatments were applied in the late fall. Corn grain was hand harvested in the fall. Similar to year 1 soil samples were taken. The year 3 treatments were applied late fall of year 2. Root yield and quality were determined in the fall. In each of the production years, optimum production practices for pests control and nutrient management besides nitrogen were used.

Table 1. Treatment List

Treatment Number	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	(soybean/dry bean)	(corn)	(sugar beet)
1	3 ton litter	0 N	0 N
2	6 ton litter	0 N	0 N
3	0 N	3 ton litter	0 N
4	0 N	6 ton litter	0 N
5	0 N	0N	0 N
6	0 N	120 N	3 ton litter
7	0 N	120 N	6 ton litter
8	0 N	120 N	0 N
9	0 N	120 N	30 N
10	0 N	120 N	60 N
11	0 N	120 N	90 N
12	0 N	120 N	120 N
13	0 N	120 N	150 N
14	0 N	120 N	180 N
15	0 N	0 N	90 N

Table 2. Timeline for crops at each of three locations.

2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013
Location 1 -	Location 1 - corn	Location 1 – sugar			
soybean		beet			
	Location 2 -	Location 2 - corn	Location 2 – sugar		
	soybean		beet		
		Location 3 -	Location 4 – dry	Location 4 - corn	Location 4 –
		Abandoned	edible bean		sugar beet

#### Results and Discussion

#### **Raymond Site:**

Soybean grain yields where significantly increased by the application of turkey litter in 2007 at the Raymond site, Table 3. This increase was small. There were no differences in grain yield between 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter application.

Table 3. Soybean grain yields as affected by the application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2006 at Raymond, Minnesota in 2007.

Treatment	Soybean grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero (check)	50.0
3 tons turkey litter	51.8
6 tons turkey litter	53.5
Statistics	P>F
Zero vs turkey litter application	0.005
Manure (3 vs 6 tons turkey litter)	NS
C.V. (%)	5.3

Soil samples were taken in the fall before each year of the rotation. The soil nitrate-N, soil test P, and soil test K were similar in the fall of 2006 before the study started at this site, Table 4. The application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter, fall 2006, increased the soil residual nitrate-N and soil test P in the sample taken fall 2007, Table 4. The application of turkey litter at 6 tons per acre two and three years before sugar beet production increased soil nitrate-N.

Table 4. Soil test results fall 2006, fall 2007, and fall 2008 at Raymond, Minnesota.

	Nitrate-N 0-4 ft. (lb/A)		Olsen-P (ppm)			Soil test K (ppm)			
Treatment	Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08
3 tons turkey litter fall 06	24	98	37	35	38	34	206	178	136
6 tons turkey litter fall 06	22	172	71	34	45	41	196	187	146
3 tons turkey litter fall 07			29			28			135
6 tons turkey litter fall 07			79			43			169
120 lb N/A fall 07			40			35			143
Check	23	44	26	27	29	31	165	157	141

Corn grain yields in 2008 were measured at the Raymond site, Table 5. The only significant difference in corn grain yield was between the check, with no N fertilizer or turkey litter applied and the corn grain yield from the rest of the treated plots. There were no differences between yields from the 120 pounds N per acre as urea fertilizer and the turkey litter treatments from applied either Fall 2006 of Fall 2007, Table 4. In the Fall of 2008, soil nitrate-N was increase over the check in plots that were treated with 6 tons of turkey litter fall 2006 or fall 2007. The 3 tons of turkey litter per acre applied in fall 2006 or fall 2007 had similar soil nitrate-N values as the check.

Table 5. Corn grain yields as affected by the application of 120 pounds N per acre, 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2006, and 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2007 at Raymond, Minnesota in 2008.

Treatment	Corn grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero N (check)	102
120 pounds N per acre applied fall 2007	150
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2006	130
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2006	146
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007	150
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007	144
Statistics	P > F
Check vs rest	0.0001
120 lb N per acre vs turkey litter	NS
2006 vs 2007 turkey litter	NS
2006 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	NS
2007 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	NS

Sugar beets were planted in 2009 with N rate treatments and 3 and 6 turkey litter applications made fall 2008. The root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue for the turkey litter treatments are reported in Table 6 while the statistical analysis is reported in Table 7. Root yield was increased with the use of litter application. The increase was greatest with the Fall 2008 litter application. This application was confounded with an application of 120 pounds of fertilizer N per acre. The sugar beet root yield greater with 6 tons turkey litter per acre applied compared to the 3 tons per acre when the litter was applied fall 2007. Sugar beet quality, as measured by the extractable sucrose per ton of processed sugar beet was not affected by the manure treatments. Because of the lack of response in sugar beet quality, extractable sucrose per acre and revenue was affected by the turkey litter treatments the same as root yield was.

Table 6. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of turkey litter since 2006 at Raymond, MN in 2009.

	Treatments		Root yield	Extractab	Extractable sucrose	
Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
Check	Check	Check	23.1	248	5721	629
3 ton turkey litter			27.3	241	6574	701
6 ton turkey litter			27.6	250	6994	786
	3 ton turkey litter		25.1	247	6207	680
	6 ton turkey litter		33.9	253	8527	949
	120 lb N/A	3 ton turkey litter	35.1	252	8816	982
	120 lb N/A	6 ton turkey litter	39.3	258	10102	1149

Table 7. Statistical analysis for sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue at Raymond, MN in 2009.

	•	Extractab					
Contrast	Root yield	lb/ton	lb/A	Revenue			
		P>F					
Check vs rest	0.0007	NS	0.0005	0.0008			
Turkey litter fall 06	0.0001	0.12	0.0001	0.0001			
and 07 vs 08							
Turkey litter fall 06 vs	NS	NS	NS	NS			
fall 07							
Turkey litter 06, 3 vs 6	NS	0.17	NS	NS			
tons							
Turkey litter 07, 3 vs 6	0.002	NS	0.002	0.003			
Turkey litter 08, 3 vs 6	NS	NS	0.20	0.17			
N rate fertilizer	0.02	NS	0.04	0.08			

To compare turkey litter treatments with fertilizer, a nitrogen rate study was conducted within the turkey litter treatments, Table 8. There was a significant response to nitrogen application at the Raymond, MN site in 2009 for root yield, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue. Sugar beet quality was not affect by N fertilizer application. The optimum nitrogen rate was 90 pounds per acre. The residual nitrate-N in the surface 4 feet was 40 pounds per acre. With both soil nitrate-N and fertilizer N, this would make the optimum of 130 pounds per acre. The optimum fertilizer application was similar statistically to the best turkey litter application treatment for revenue. This information would suggest that the time of turkey litter application in the sugar beet rotation was important at this location.

Table 8. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of nitrogen fertilizer fall 2008 at Raymond, MN in 2009.

anceted by the approach of marogen fortinger ran 2000 at raymond, with in 2009.									
Fall 07	Fall 08	Root yield	Extractable sucrose		Revenue				
lb nit	rogen/A	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A				
120	0	27.0	254	6884	776				
120	30	25.7	254	6553	740				
120	60	33.2	254	8448	950				
120	90	35.1	255	8985	1017				
120	120	30.5	259	7871	899				
120	150	33.4	255	8484	955				
120	180	31.3	248	7754	850				

### Olivia Site:

A second site was established south of Olivia fall of 2007. Soybean was planted and harvested in 2008. The soybean grain yields were not affected by the 3 and 6 tons turkey litter application in the fall of 2007, Table 9.

Table 9. Soybean grain yields as affected by the application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2007 at Olivia, Minnesota in 2008.

Treatment	Soybean grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero (check)	49.8
3 tons turkey litter	50.1
6 tons turkey litter	50.7
Statistics	P>F
Zero vs turkey litter application	NS
Manure (3 vs 6 tons turkey litter)	NS
C.V. (%)	6.0

Soil samples were taken each fall before each crop in the rotation, Table 10. The average amount of nitrate-N in 4 feet at the beginning of this study at this site was 100 pounds per acre. The phosphorus was near 50 ppm Olsen and soil test was 170 ppm. The application of turkey litter at 6 tons per acre caused a greater soil nitrate amount in the fall of 2008. The soil test phosphorus was increased while soil test K was not affected by the fall 2007 manure applications. The study area was fertilized in the fall of 2008 with 80 pounds phosphate per acre as 0-46-0 and 60 potash per acre as 0-0-60. This

application resulted in the increase in soil test P and soil test K between the falls of 2008 and 2009. The increases caused the fall soil test P and K to be similar among the different treatments.

Table 10. Soil test results fall 2007, fall 2008, and fall 2009 at Olivia, Minnesota.

	Nitrate-N 0-4 ft. (lb/A)		Olsen-P (ppm)			Soil test K (ppm)			
Treatment	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 09	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 09	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 09
3 tons turkey litter fall 07		48	27	48	70	96	164	174	287
6 tons turkey litter fall 07	118	101	20	56	68	82	177	186	231
3 tons turkey litter fall 08			24			79			255
6 tons turkey litter fall 08			26			68			265
120 lb N/A fall 08			20			91			281
Check	80	47	22			83			268

Corn was grown in 2009 with treatments added of 120 pounds N per acre and 3 and 6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2008. Corn grain yields from 2009 are reported in Table 11. There was a significant increase in grain yield over no nitrogen from the application of turkey litter and nitrogen fertilizer in 2009. The 120 pounds of N per acre as urea and the 6 tons of turkey litter per acre applied fall 2008 had the greatest grain yields of 218 bushels per acre. Statistically, there was no difference in grain yield between the 2007 and 2008 turkey litter applications. Each year, the 6 ton per acre application produced greater grain yields than the 3 ton per acre application. This site was planted to sugar beet in 2010.

Table 11. Corn grain yields as affected by the application of 120 pounds N per acre, 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2007, and 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2008 at Olivia, Minnesota in 2009.

Treatment	Corn grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero N (check)	149
120 pounds N per acre applied fall 2008	218
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007	180
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007	208
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2008	185
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2008	218
Statistics	P > F
Check vs rest	0.0001
120 lb N per acre vs turkey litter	0.0013
2007 vs 2008 turkey litter	NS
2007 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	0.05
2008 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	0.03

Sugar beet was planted in 2010 with N rate treatments and 3 and 6 turkey litter per acre applications made fall 2009. The root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue for the turkey litter treatments are reported in Table 12 and the statistical analysis is reported in Table 13. Root yield was increased with the use of litter application. The increase was greatest with the Fall 2009 turkey litter application. This application was confounded with an application of 120 pounds of fertilizer N per acre. The increase in root yield with 120 pounds of N fertilizer N per acre was 24 tons per acre. This suggests that the turkey litter application in fall 2009 did increase root yield more than the applications in previous years. Sugar beet quality, as measured by the extractable sucrose per ton of processed sugar beet was decreased by the manure treatments compared to sugar beet grown in plots with no nitrogen fertilizer application during the three years of the rotation. There were no differences in extractable sucrose per ton by the different turkey litter treatments. The extractable sucrose per acre and revenue per acre were affected by the treatments, similarly. The increase in root yield over the check resulted in an increase in both extractable sucrose per acre and revenue per acre from turkey litter applications. The fall 2009 turkey litter application (either rate) increased root yield over the other turkey litter treatments and thus increased the extractable sucrose per acre and revenue per acre more than the other turkey litter treatments. The best return per acre was from the manure applied directly before the sugar beet production year at this location.

Table 12. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of turkey litter since 2007 at Olivia, MN in 2010.

<del>- 1</del>	1 ,	,				
	Treatments	·	Root yield	Extractab	le sucrose	Revenue
Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 09	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
Check	Check	Check	20.3	308	6208	813
3 ton turkey litter			25.7	279	7193	879
6 ton turkey litter			27.2	277	7532	913
	3 ton turkey litter		27.1	275	7480	903
	6 ton turkey litter		28.3	271	7695	918
	120 lb N/A	3 ton turkey litter	37.3	280	10466	1282
	120 lb N/A	6 ton turkey litter	35.0	274	9615	1158

Table 13. Statistical analysis for sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue at Olivia, MN in 2010.

		Extractab	le sucrose	
Contrast	Root yield	lb/ton	lb/A	Revenue
		P:	>F	
Check vs rest	0.0001	0.0001	0.0004	0.06
Turkey litter fall 07	0.0001	0.59	0.0001	0.0001
and 08 vs 09				
Turkey litter fall 07 vs	0.21	0.15	0.49	0.74
fall 08				
Turkey litter 07, 3 vs 6	0.32	0.65	0.48	0.60
tons				
Turkey litter 08, 3 vs 6	0.37	0.38	0.63	0.81
Turkey litter 09, 3 vs 6	0.12	0.21	0.08	0.07
N rate fertilizer	0.0004	0.003	0.06	0.21

The use of fertilizer applied in fall 2009 increased root yield and extractable sucrose per acre, Table 14. Revenue per acre was not affect by the N application. The decrease in extractable sucrose per ton was more pronounced for fertilizer application rates when compared to the litter treatments.

Table 14. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of nitrogen fertilizer fall 2009 at Olivia, MN in 2010.

Fall 08	Fall 09	Root yield	Extractable sucrose		Revenue
lb ni	trogen/A	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
120	0	24.0	274	6582	792
120	30	23.6	282	6581	802
120	60	27.6	282	7631	938
120	90	24.3	275	6652	799
120	120	28.5	266	7556	884
120	150	27.1	257	6972	792
120	180	27.7	265	7348	859

## **Clara City site:**

The Clara City site was established with the application of the 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in the fall of 2009. The plot area was planted to dry edible bean in 2010. This is different than the other sites. The dry edible bean was hand harvested. The use of turkey litter significantly increased bean yields in 2010, Table 15. The increase was approximately 600 lb per acre. There was no difference in bean yield from the different turkey litter rates.

Table 15. Dry edible bean yields as affected by the application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2009 at Clara City, Minnesota in 2010.

Treatment	Dry edible bean yield (lbs per acre)
Zero (check)	1902
3 tons turkey litter	2465
6 tons turkey litter	2575
Statistics	P>F
Zero vs turkey litter application	0.03
Manure (3 vs 6 tons turkey litter)	0.69
C.V. (%)	18.0

Soil samples were taken in the fall before each year of the rotation. The soil nitrate-N, soil test P, and soil test K were 75 lb nitrate-N/acre in the surface four feet, 13 ppm Olsen P, and 155 ppm soil test K in the surface six inches in the fall of 2009, Table 16. The application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in the fall of 2009, 2010, or 2011, did not affect the soil test values for nitrate-N, Olsen –P, or K. The Clara City site behaved different than the other two sites. At those sites, manure application before sugar beet production did increase the soil nitrate-N values over the check treatments.

Table 16. Soil test results fall 2009, fall 2010, and fall 2011 at Clara City, Minnesota.

	Nitrate-N 0-4 ft. (lb/A)		Olsen-P (ppm)		Soil test K (ppm)				
Treatment	Fall 09	Fall 10	Fall 11	Fall 09	Fall 10	Fall 11	Fall 09	Fall 10	Fall 11
3 tons turkey litter fall 09		52	20		19	11		131	149
6 tons turkey litter fall 09		45	29		19	15		130	156
3 tons turkey litter fall 10			26			13			149
6 tons turkey litter fall 10			39			19			160
120 lb N/A fall 10			23			11			147
Check	75	40	23	13	17	17	155	145	156

Corn grain yields in 2011 were measured at the Clara City site, Table 17. The only significant difference in corn grain yield was between the 3 ton and 6 ton/A of turkey litter applications in the fall of 2010. The difference was a reduction in corn yield from the 3 ton/A treatment to the 6 ton/A treatment. There were no significant differences in corn grain yields between the other treatments.

Table 17. Corn grain yields as affected by the application of 120 pounds N per acre, 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2009, and 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2010 at Clara City, Minnesota in 2011.

Treatment	Corn grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero N (check)	208
120 pounds N per acre applied fall 2010	203
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2009	203
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2009	206
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2010	210
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2010	188
Statistics	P > F
Check vs rest	0.22
120 lb N per acre vs turkey litter	0.51
2009 vs 2010 turkey litter	0.36
2009 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	0.58
2010 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	0.02

Sugar beets were planted in 2012 with N rate treatments and 3 and 6 turkey litter applications made fall 2011. The root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue for the turkey litter treatments are reported in Table 18 while the statistical analysis is reported in Table 19. Root yield, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue was significantly increased by any of the litter and fertilizer treatments compared to sugar beet that received no fertilizer during the study at this site, 3 years. At this site, root yield, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue were greater for the treatments receiving 120 lb N/A fertilizer before corn production and 3 and 6 ton turkey litter per acre in the fall of 2011 than the sugar beet receiving 3 and 6 tons turkey litter per acre in the fall of 2009 and fall 2010. The extractable sucrose per ton of sugar beet was reduced from the 6 ton turkey litter per acre treatment then when compared to the 3 ton turkey litter per acre treatment from the fall of 2010.

Table 18. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of turkey litter since 2009 at Clara City, MN in 2012.

Treatments			Root yield	Extractable sucrose		Revenue
Fall 09	Fall 10	Fall 11	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
Check	Check	Check	27.8	291	8019	2358
3 ton turkey litter			29.4	301	8849	2649
6 ton turkey litter			29.2	302	8800	2637
	3 ton turkey litter		29.2	304	8851	2660
	6 ton turkey litter		32.7	292	9486	2796
	120 lb N/A	3 ton turkey litter	32.8	295	9655	2864
	120 lb N/A	6 ton turkey litter	34.9	288	10049	2951

Table 19. Statistical analysis for sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue at Clara City. MN in 2012.

		1					
Contrast	Root yield	lb/ton	lb/A	Revenue			
	P>F						
Check vs rest	0.02	0.34	0.002	0.0016			
Turkey litter fall 09	0.008	0.35	0.03	0.05			
and 10 vs 11							
Turkey litter fall 09 vs	0.31	0.39	0.43	0.50			
fall 10							
Turkey litter 09, 3 vs 6	0.92	0.83	0.93	0.94			
tons							
Turkey litter 10, 3 vs 6	0.13	0.04	0.32	0.47			
Turkey litter 11, 3 vs 6	0.33	0.23	0.49	0.61			
N rate fertilizer	0.54	0.90	0.32	0.28			

To compare litter treatments with fertilizer, a nitrogen rate study was conducted within the litter treatments, Table 20. In 2012, there was no significant response to nitrogen application at the Clara City site, Table 19. This information would suggest that the time of turkey litter application in the sugar beet rotation was important at this location. You actually have better yields with turkey litter applied the fall before sugar beet production.

Table 20. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of nitrogen fertilizer fall 2011 at Clara City, MN in 2012.

Fall 10	Fall 11	Root yield	Extractable sucrose		Revenue
lb ni	trogen/A	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
120	0	30.5	294	8965	2656
120	30	31.8	292	9230	2722
120	60	34.2	300	9961	2978
120	90	33.9	297	10057	2991
120	120	32.3	292	9656	2845
120	150	35.0	295	10333	3063
120	180	34.5	294	10344	3066

# **Summary:**

After three sites worth of information, if a grower must apply turkey litter in the sugar beet production system, it should be applied in the fall before sugar beet production. This conclusion is not what the current recommendation is. Caution about the use of any kind of manure in rotation should be used. In this study the manure application rates were not excessive. Excessive applications could cause problems with quality. Applications made more than once during a three year rotation should be avoided for the same reason. Too much of a good thing (turkey litter) can cause problems with management of the residual soil nitrates in the soil system.