TURKEY LITTER EFFECTS ON SUGAR BEET PRODUCTION

John Lamb, Mark Bredehoeft, and Chris Dunsmore University of Minnesota and Southern Minnesota Beet Sugar Cooperative

Livestock operations, mainly poultry and swine, are increasing in size and impact in the Southern Minnesota sugar beet growing area. Many sugar beet producers own or have interest in these operations; thus have manure available to use on their fields. Manure research data concludes that manure has a positive effect on crop production from its effects on soil nutrient availability and soil physical properties. A concern has been raised about the effect of late season nitrogen mineralized from the manure on sugar beet quality. Grower observations indicate better growth in fields that have had manure applied. With the large amount of manure available, the question has changed from whether to use manure but when in the sugar beet crop rotation should manure be applied to minimize quality concerns and realize benefits? Turkey manure has a considerable amount of litter in it, thus slowing initial release of poultry manure-N. The implication of the manure-N release is critical, especially to sugar beet growers. Therefore, recommendations need to be evaluated with sugar beets. This research project has been designed to: 1) determine when in a three-year rotation, should turkey litter be applied and 2) determine nitrogen fertilizer equivalent of turkey litter applied two and three years in advance of sugar beet production.

Materials and Methods

To meet the objectives of this experiment, the first of three sites was established near Raymond, Minnesota in the fall of 2006. A second site was established in the fall of 2007 near Olivia, Minnesota and a third site was established near Bird Island in 2009. The Bird Island site was lost because of an errant manure application by the cooperator.

The Raymond site was cropped to soybean in 2007. Turkey manure was applied fall 2006 and soybean grain yields were harvested by a plot combine and soil samples taken in the fall of 2007. The treatments for the second year were applied to the first site near Raymond in the fall of 2007 with corn grown in 2008. The corn was harvested, soil samples taken, and the third year treatments were applied late fall 2008 and sugar beet was grown in 2009.

The second site near Olivia, Minnesota had the first manure treatment applied in the fall of 2007 with soybean grown in 2008. The soybeans were harvested with a research combine, soil samples taken, and the second year's treatments were applied fall 2008. Corn was grown in 2009 and hand harvested for grain yield fall 2009. After corn harvest, soil samples were taken and the third year treatments were applied and sugar beet will be grown in 2010.

At each site of this study there were five replications of the treatments listed in Table 1. Turkey litter treatments of 3 and 6 tons per acres were applied 2 and 3 years ahead of sugar beet production in the three year rotation of soybean/corn/sugar beet. This rotation is the most common rotation in the Southern Minnesota Sugar Cooperative growing area. Treatment 5 is the check treatment for the whole experiment while treatments 8 and 15 are checks for different parts of the rotation. Treatments 6 through 14 are the N fertilizer rates plus the two turkey litter rate applied the fall before the sugar beet production year. During the corn production year, 120 lb N per acre will be applied for treatments 6 through 14. This is the current U of MN N guideline for corn following soybean. In the soybean production year, grain yield was measured with a research combine. Soil samples were taken in fall to a depth of 4 feet and analyzed for nitrate-N while soil samples to a 6 inch depth were analyzed for phosphorous, potassium, organic matter, and pH. The year 2 manure and fertilizer treatments were applied in the late fall. Corn grain was hand harvested in the fall. Similar to year 1 soil samples were taken. The year 3 treatments were applied late fall of year 2. Root yield and quality were determined in the fall. In each of the production years, optimum production practices for pests control and nutrient management besides nitrogen were used.

Table 1. Treatment List

Treatment Number	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	(soybean)	(corn)	(sugar beet)
1	3 ton litter	0 N	0 N
2	6 ton litter	0 N	0 N
3	0 N	3 ton litter	0 N
4	0 N	6 ton litter	0 N
5	0 N	0N	0 N
6	0 N	120 N	3 ton litter
7	0 N	120 N	6 ton litter
8	0 N	120 N	0 N
9	0 N	120 N	30 N
10	0 N	120 N	60 N
11	0 N	120 N	90 N
12	0 N	120 N	120 N
13	0 N	120 N	150 N
14	0 N	120 N	180 N
15	0 N	0 N	90 N

Table 2. Timeline for crops at each of three locations.

2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-2011	2011-2012
Location 1 - soybean	Location 1 - corn	Location 1 – sugar beet		
	Location 2 - soybean	Location 2 - corn	Location 2 – sugar beet	
		Location 3 - soybean	Location 3 - corn	Location 3 - sugarbeet

Results and Discussion

Raymond Site:

Soybean grain yields where significantly increased by the application of manure in 2007 at the Raymond site, Table 3. This increase was small. There were no differences in grain yield between 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter application. Soil samples were taken after the soybean production year in the fall of 2007. The application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter, fall 2006, increased the soil residual nitrate-N and soil test P in the sample taken fall 2007, Table 4.

Table 3. Soybean grain yields as affected by the application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2006 at Raymond, Minnesota in 2007.

Treatment	Soybean grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero (check)	50.0
3 tons turkey litter	51.8
6 tons turkey litter	53.5
Statistics	P>F
Zero vs turkey litter application	0.005
Manure (3 vs 6 tons turkey litter)	NS
C.V. (%)	5.3

Table 4. Soil test results fall 2006, fall 2007, and fall 2008 at Raymond, Minnesota.

	Nitrat	e-N 0-4 ft.	(lb/A)	0	lsen-P (ppn	n)	,	Soil test K (p	ppm)
Treatment	Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08	Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08
3 tons turkey litter fall 06	24	98	37	35	38	34	206	178	136
6 tons turkey litter fall 06	22	172	71	34	45	41	196	187	146
3 tons turkey litter fall 07			29			28			135
6 tons turkey litter fall 07			79			43			169
120 lb N/A fall 07			40			35			143
Check	23	44	26	27	29	31	165	157	141

Corn grain yields in 2008 were measured at the Raymond site, Table 5. The only significant difference in corn grain yield was between the check, with no N fertilizer or turkey litter applied and the corn grain yield from the rest of the treated plots. There were no differences between yields from the 120 pounds N per acre as urea fertilizer and the turkey litter treatments from applied either Fall 2006 of Fall 2007, Table 4. In the Fall of 2008, soil nitrate-N was increase over the check in plots that were treated with

6 tons of turkey litter fall 2006 or fall 2007. The 3 tons of turkey applied in fall 2006 or fall 2007 had similar soil nitrate-N values as the check.

Table 5. Corn grain yields as affected by the application of 120 pounds N per acre, 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2006, and 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2007 at Raymond, Minnesota in 2008.

Treatment	Corn grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero N (check)	102
120 pounds N per acre applied fall 2007	150
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2006	130
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2006	146
3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007	150
6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007	144
Statistics	P > F
Check vs rest	0.0001
120 lb N per acre vs turkey litter	NS
2006 vs 2007 turkey litter	NS
2006 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	NS
2007 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter	NS

Sugar beets were planted in 2009 with N rate treatments and 3 and 6 turkey litter applications made fall 2008. The root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue for the turkey litter treatments are reported in Table 6 while the statistical analysis is reported in Table 7. Root yield was increased with the use of litter application. The increase was greatest with the Fall 2008 litter application. This application was confounded with an application of 120 pounds of fertilizer N per acre. The sugar beet root yield greater with 6 tons litter per acre applied compared to the 3 tons per acre when the litter was applied fall 2007. Sugar beet quality, as measured by the extractable sucrose per ton of processed sugar beet was not affected by the manure treatments. Because of the lack of response in sugar beet quality, extractable sucrose per acre and revenue was affected by the litter treatments the same as root yield was.

Table 6. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as affected by the application of turkey litter since 2006 at Raymond, MN in 2009.

Treatments			Root yield	ot yield Extractable sucrose		Revenue
Fall 06	Fall 07	Fall 08	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
Check	Check	Check	23.1	248	5721	629
3 ton turkey litter			27.3	241	6574	701
6 ton turkey litter			27.6	250	6994	786
	3 ton turkey litter		25.1	247	6207	680
	6 ton turkey litter		33.9	253	8527	949
	120 lb N/A	3 ton turkey litter	35.1	252	8816	982
	120 lb N/A	6 ton turkey litter	39.3	258	10102	1149

Table 7. Statistical analysis for sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue at Raymond, MN in 2009.

	Extractable sucrose			
Contrast	Root yield	lb/ton	lb/A	Revenue
		P:	>F	
Check vs rest	0.0007	NS	0.0005	0.0008
Turkey litter fall 06	0.0001	0.12	0.0001	0.0001
and 07 vs 08				
Turkey litter fall 06 vs	NS	NS	NS	NS
fall 07				
Turkey litter 06, 3 vs 6	NS	0.17	NS	NS
tons				
Turkey litter 07, 3 vs 6	0.002	NS	0.002	0.003
Turkey litter 08, 3 vs 6	NS	NS	0.20	0.17
N rate fertilizer	0.02	NS	0.04	0.08

To compare litter treatments with fertilizer, a nitrogen rate study was conducted within the litter treatments, Table 8. There was a significant response to nitrogen application at the Raymond, MN site in 2009 for root yield, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue. Sugar beet quality was not affect by N

fertilizer application. The optimum nitrogen rate was 90 pounds per acre. The residual nitrate-N in the surface 4 feet was 40 pounds per acre. With both soil nitrate-N and fertilizer N, this would make the optimum of 130 pounds per acre. The optimum fertilizer application was similar statistically to the best litter application for revenue. This would suggest that the time of turkey litter application in the sugar beet rotation is not important at this location. Remember that this observation is based on one location in one year!

Table 8. Sugar beet root yield, extractable sucrose per ton, extractable sucrose per acre, and revenue as

affected by the application of nitrogen fertilizer fall 2008 at Raymond, MN in 2009.

Fall 07	Fall 08	Root yield	Extractable	le sucrose	Revenue
lb nitr	rogen/A	ton/A	lb/ton	lb/A	\$/A
120	0	27.0	254	6884	776
120	30	25.7	254	6553	740
120	60	33.2	254	8448	950
120	90	35.1	255	8985	1017
120	120	30.5	259	7871	899
120	150	33.4	255	8484	955
120	180	31.3	248	7754	850

Olivia Site:

A second site was established south of Olivia fall of 2007. Soybean was planted and harvested in 2008. The soybean grain yields were not affected by the 3 and 6 tons turkey litter application in the fall of 2007, Table 9.

Table 9. Soybean grain yields as affected by the application of 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2007 at Olivia, Minnesota in 2008.

Treatment	Soybean grain yield (bushels per acre)
Zero (check)	49.8
3 tons turkey litter	50.1
6 tons turkey litter	50.7
Statistics	P>F
Zero vs turkey litter application	NS
Manure (3 vs 6 tons turkey litter)	NS
C.V. (%)	6.0

Corn was grown in 2009 with treatments added of 120 pounds N per acre and 3 and 6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2008. Corn grain yields from 2009 are reported in Table 10. There was a significant increase in grain yield over no nitrogen from the application of turkey litter and nitrogen fertilizer in 2009. The 120 pounds of N per acre as urea and the 6 tons of turkey litter per acre applied fall 2008 had the greatest grain yields of 218 bushels per acre. Statistically, there was no difference in grain yield between the 2007 and 2008 turkey litter applications. Each year, the 6 ton per acre application produced greater grain yields than the 3 ton per acre application. This site will be planted to sugar beet in 2010.

Table 10. Corn grain yields as affected by the application of 120 pounds N per acre, 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2007, and 3 and 6 tons of turkey litter in fall 2008 at Olivia, Minnesota in 2009.

Treatment Corn grain yield (bushels per acre) Zero N (check) 149 120 pounds N per acre applied fall 2008 218 3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007 180 6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2007 208 185 3 tons turkey litter applied fall 2008 6 tons turkey litter applied fall 2008 218 Statistics P > FCheck vs rest 0.0001 120 lb N per acre vs turkey litter 0.0013 2007 vs 2008 turkey litter NS 2007 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter 0.05 2008 3 ton vs 6 ton turkey litter 0.03