

MANAGEMENT OF WATERHEMP WITH SOIL-APPLIED FOLLOWED BY POSTEMERGENCE HERBICIDES IN ROUNDUP READY® SUGARBEET AT MOORHEAD, MN IN 2013

Aaron L. Carlson

Sugarbeet Research Specialist

Plant Science Department, North Dakota State University – University of Minnesota, Fargo, ND

The objective of this study was to determine the effectiveness of soil-applied followed by postemergence herbicides on control of glyphosate-resistant and -susceptible waterhemp populations and the impact on sugarbeet yield and extractable sucrose.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plot area was worked with a Kongskilde 's-tine' field cultivator equipped with rolling baskets on May 17, 2013. 'Hilleshog 4022RR' sugarbeet was seeded 1.25 inches deep in 22 inch rows at 60,825 seeds per acre on May 17. Sugarbeet was treated with Tachigaren and Poncho Beta at 45 grams and 5.07 fl oz of product, respectively, per 100,000 seeds. Counter 20G insecticide at 8.9 pounds product per acre was applied in a 5-inch band and drag chain incorporated at planting. Herbicide treatments were applied May 17, June 12, and July 2 & 17. All treatments were applied with a bicycle sprayer in 17 gpa spray solution through 8002 XR flat fan nozzles pressurized with CO₂ at 40 psi to the center four rows of six row plots 30 feet in length. Preplant-incorporated (PPI) treatments were incorporated 1.5 inches deep with a John Deere 8-foot 's-tine' field cultivator equipped with a spring-tooth harrow. Quadris was broadcast at 16 fl oz/A June 13 to prevent Rhizoctonia root rot. Cercospora leaf spot was controlled with Proline at 5.7 fl oz/A and Headline EC at 9 fl oz/A broadcast July 29 and August 19, respectively. Sugarbeet was harvested September 26 from the center two rows of each plot and weighed. Twenty to thirty pounds of sugarbeet was collected from each plot and analyzed for quality at American Crystal Sugar Quality Lab, East Grand Forks, MN.

Sugarbeet stand was counted in the center two rows of plots on June 18, July 11, and September 26. Sugarbeet injury was evaluated on June 12, July 30, and August 13. Waterhemp control was evaluated June 12, July 30, August 13, and September 4. All evaluations were a visual estimate of percent fresh weight reduction in the four treated rows compared to the adjacent untreated strip. Experimental design was randomized complete block with 4 replications. Data were analyzed with the ANOVA procedure of Agriculture Research Manager, version 8.5.0 software package.

Table 1. Application Information

Application code	A	B	C	D	E
Date	May 17	May 17	June 12	July 2	July 17
Time of Day	10:00 A	12:30 P	12:00 P	12:45 P	10:00 A
Air Temperature (F)	72	75	74	84	87
Relative Humidity (%)	39	32	65	32	63
Wind Velocity (mph)	2	3	3	4	2
Wind Direction	SE	SE	NE	NE	N
Soil Temp. (F at 6")	57	57	65	78	74
Soil Moisture	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Cloud Cover	60	80	98	40	15
Sugarbeet stage (avg)	PPI	PRE	2 lf	10 lf	15 lf
Waterhemp (untreated avg)	-	-	2 lf	18 inch	24 inch

SUMMARY

Three applications of Roundup PowerMax (glyphosate; 4.5 lbae/gal) gave 53% waterhemp control at the September 4 evaluation. This level of control indicates the presence of glyphosate-resistant waterhemp. The addition of Betamix (desmedipham + phenmedipham; 0.65 + 0.65 lbae/gal), Ethofumesate 4SC (ethofumesate; 4 lbae/gal), and Destiny HC (a high surfactant methylated seed oil concentrate) to glyphosate increased waterhemp control to 83%. The addition of Outlook (dimethanamid-p; 6 lbae/gal) to the PowerMax+Ethofumesate+Betamix tank-mix improved waterhemp control in some treatments but not in others. Outlook must be applied prior to waterhemp emergence to provide any control. Outlook may have been applied too late in this study to show a consistent benefit from the lay-by herbicide. When combined across all postemergence (POST) combinations, PRE Dual Magnum (s-metolachlor; 7.62 lbae/gal) gave the greatest waterhemp control of 92% at both 1.0 and 1.5 pt/a. When combined across all POST combinations, waterhemp control in the absence of a soil applied herbicide was 72%. Ro-Neet SB (cycloate; 6 lbae/gal) at 5.6 pt/a and Ethofumesate 4SC (ethofumesate; 4 lb ai/gal) at 7.5 pt/a each gave 82% waterhemp control when averaged across all POST combinations.

Table 2. Management of Waterhemp with Soil-Applied Followed by Postemergence Herbicides in Roundup Ready® Sugarbeet – Moorhead, MN – 2013 (Carlson)

Trt Treatment No Name	Rate RateUnit	Appl Code	June 12		July 30		Aug 13		Sept 4	Jun 18	Jul 11	September 26			
			sgbt inj	wahc cntl	sgbt inj	wahc cntl	sgbt inj	wahc cntl	wahc cntl	sgbt stand	sgbt stand	sgbt stand	sgbt yield	sgbt sucr	sgbt ext sucr
			-----%-----						-----no. / 100 ft-----			ton/a	%	lb/a	
20 Dual Magnum	1.5 pt/a	B	16	84	8	98	0	99	98	139	138	135	29.0	13.3	6361
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Outlook	21 fl oz/a	D													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
21 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	0	63	0	79	0	80	78	158	166	135	27.6	13.1	5910
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
NIS	0.25 % v/v	CDE													
22 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	0	63	0	82	0	83	82	163	167	161	30.7	13.0	6517
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
23 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	0	59	7	87	0	86	82	173	183	163	30.2	13.6	6743
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
24 Ethofumesate	5 pt/a	A	2	64	7	94	1	93	89	180	173	166	28.1	13.8	6410
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Outlook	21 fl oz/a	D													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
25 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a	A	1	70	0	79	0	71	73	176	182	163	31.1	13.6	6985
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
NIS	0.25 % v/v	CDE													
26 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a	A	0	80	0	92	0	90	85	185	169	165	32.1	13.7	7284
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
27 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a	A	0	76	9	89	0	87	84	185	176	166	28.9	14.0	6868
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
28 Ethofumesate	7.5 pt/a	A	1	65	8	93	0	95	88	173	159	160	29.2	13.6	6597
RU PowerMax	32 / 24 / 22 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Betamix	10 / 16 / 24 fl oz/a	C/D/E													
Outlook	21 fl oz/a	D													
Ethofumesate	4 fl oz/a	CDE													
N Pak AMS	2.5 % v/v	CDE													
Destiny HC	1.5 pt/a	CDE													
29 Untreated Check			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	174	-	21	0.0	0.0	0
	LSD 5%		6.8	12.7	3.1	9.6	NS	10.0	10.8	24.1	26.3	26.6	3.72	0.76	935
	CV %		143	15	64	8	491	9	10	10	11	12	9	4	10