

EFFECT OF FUNGICIDE, INSECTICIDE, AND HERBICIDE TANK MIXES ON SUGARBEET

Mohamed F. R. Khan¹ and Aaron L. Carlson²

¹Extension Sugarbeet Specialist, North Dakota State University & University of Minnesota

²Research Technician, Plant Pathology Department, North Dakota State University

The objective of this research was to evaluate phytotoxicity of fungicide, insecticide, and herbicide tank mixes to sugarbeet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted at Glyndon, MN in 2010, Prosper, ND in 2011 and Foxhome, MN in 2012. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replicates in all years.

At Glyndon, MN in 2010, the field was fertilized with 125 lb/A of Urea on 10 May and incorporated 11 May with 0.5 inches of rainfall. Field plots comprised of six 25-foot long rows spaced 22 inches apart. Plots were planted 18 May, using Crystal 539RR treated with 45 g of Tachigaren/unit of seed and Poncho Beta. Terbufos (Counter 15G) was applied modified in-furrow at 11.9 lbs/A during planting to control sugarbeet insect pests. Weeds were controlled with glyphosate applied on 10 and 22 June, and 18 August. Cercospora leaf spot was controlled with Headline applied 18 August. Treatments (Table 1) were applied 14 June to 4 to 5 leaf beets with a bicycle sprayer calibrated to deliver 17 gpa of solution at 40 p.s.i pressure to the middle four rows of plots using TeeJet 8002 XR flat fan nozzles. Environmental data from application is shown in Table 2.

At Prosper, ND in 2011, the field was fertilized with 92 lbs/A N (200 lb/A of Urea) and incorporated with a field cultivator on 7 June. Field plots comprised of six 30-foot long rows spaced 22 inches apart. Plots were planted 10 June, using SESVanderHave 36811RR treated with 45 g of Tachigaren/unit of seed and Poncho Beta. Terbufos (Counter 15G) was applied modified in-furrow at 6 lbs/A during planting to control sugarbeet insect pests. Weeds were controlled with glyphosate applied on 6 July and 17 August. Cercospora leaf spot was controlled with Eminent applied 17 August. Treatments (Table 3) were applied 12 July to 8 to 12 leaf beets with a bicycle sprayer calibrated to deliver 17 gpa of solution at 40 p.s.i pressure to the middle four rows of plots using TeeJet 8002 XR flat fan nozzles.

At Foxhome, MN in 2012, the field plots comprised six 30-foot long rows spaced 22 inches apart. Plots were planted 10 May using SESVanderHave 36811RR treated with 45 g of Tachigaren/unit of seed and Poncho Beta. Counter Insecticide was not applied. Weeds were controlled with glyphosate applied on 21 June. Cercospora leaf spot was controlled with Topsin applied on 2 July and Headline applied on 19 July and Inspire on 9 August. Treatments (Table 4) were applied 31 May to 2 leaf beets with a four row tractor calibrated to deliver 17 gpa of solution at 60 p.s.i. pressure to the middle four rows of plots using 11002 twin TT nozzles

Plots were defoliated mechanically and harvested using a mechanical harvester on 14 September, 2010, 19 September, 2011 and 27 September, 2012. The middle two rows of each plot were harvested and weighed for root yield. Twelve to 15 random roots from each plot, not including roots on the ends of the plot, were analyzed for quality at the American Crystal Sugar Company Quality Tare Laboratory, East Grand Forks, MN. The data analysis was performed with the ANOVA procedure of the Agriculture Research Manager, version 8.3.4 software package (Gylling Data Management Inc., Brookings, South Dakota, 2011). The least significant difference (LSD) test was used to compare treatments when the F-test for treatments was significant ($P=0.05$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The Glyndon site in 2010 was inoculated with Rhizoctonia AG 2-2 IIIB. This experiment was added after inoculation, so the effect of Rhizoctonia should be considered when interpreting these data. Sugarbeet injury was evaluated on 22 June and the tank mix of Quadris + R.U. Powermax + Lorban Advanced gave sugarbeet injury of 25%. This was greater injury than the check or any of the other treatments. All other treatments gave similar injury to the check. No significant differences were observed in yield, percent sugar, or extractable sucrose per acre. This

indicates that while sugarbeet were injured from Quadris + R.U. Powermax + Lorban Advanced early in the season, injury did not result in reduced sugarbeet yield or quality.

The Prosper site in 2011 was a non-disease site. Excessive early season rainfall delayed application of tank-mix treatments. Treatments were scheduled to be applied to 4 to 6 leaf sugarbeet, but standing water and rain events delayed application until sugarbeet were in the 8 to 12 leaf stage. Sugarbeet injury was observed on 12 July, approximately 4 hours after application, for treatments 17 and 18. Injury symptoms were prostrate sugarbeet leaves. No injury was observed from any treatments when plots were evaluated in August and September. No significant differences were observed in percent sugar or extractable sucrose per acre. Significant differences were observed in sugarbeet yield with treatments 9, 13, 18, and 20 yielding less tons per acre than the untreated check. It is unclear whether reduced tonnage for these treatments was due to injury from the treatments or from excessive water.

The Foxhome site in 2012 was planted earliest and harvested latest compared to 2010 and 2011. Environmental conditions were favorable for crop growth although rainfall was lowest (8.2") compared to an annual average of 13.87 inches. Rainfall for the sites in 2010 was 15.11" and for 2011 was 14.74". Treatments were applied on 31 May (when beets were at the two-leaf stage) to get results similar to growers who were applying treatments 7 to 14 days earlier and were reporting phytotoxicity. In this trial, there was some minor speckling of leaves but no significant visual leaf damage. There was no significant reduction in yield, sucrose concentration, and recoverable sucrose between any of the treatments and the non-treated check. It should be noted that when treatments were applied, the air temperature was 61 F and 40% relative humidity, whereas air temperature reached the mid-90s when growers reported leaf burn. The root yield, sucrose concentration and recoverable sucrose were highest in 2012. These results suggest that the sugarbeet plant may be treated with mixtures of insecticides, fungicides, and herbicides in most years without adversely affecting yield from phytotoxicity. It may be safer to apply pesticide mixtures to older plants when air temperature is below 80 F.

It is unclear why the tank mix of Quadris + R.U. Powermax + Lorban Advanced caused sugarbeet injury in 2010 but not in 2011. The difference may be due to environmental differences at or immediately following application or sugarbeet leaf stage at application. The minor leaf speckling was probably a result of the small size of the beets where the leaves were not hardened compared to later leaf stages.

Table 1. Effect of fungicide, insecticide, and herbicide tank mixes on sugarbeet at Glyndon, MN in 2010.

Treatment	Rate in fl oz/A	22 June	Yield	Sugar	Extractable Sucrose
		Sgbt Injury			
		%	Ton/A	%	lb/A
Untreated Check		0	17.6	12.9	3991
Quadris + R.U. PowerMax ¹ + Stinger	15.4 + 32 + 2	4	23.5	13.8	5827
Quadris + R.U. PowerMax + Stinger	15.4 + 32 + 4	9	23.7	13.7	5784
Quadris + R.U. PowerMax + Lorsban Advanced	15.4 + 32 + 32	25	18.6	13.3	4497
Quadris + Lorsban Advanced	15.4 + 32	9	19.9	12.6	4406
	LSD (p≤0.05)	10	NS	NS	NS

¹ R.U. PowerMax was always applied with NIS at 0.25% v/v + AMS at 14.5 lb/100 gal

Table 2. Environmental conditions at application in 2010 and 2011.

	Glyndon, MN	Prosper, ND	Foxhome, MN
Date	14 June, 2010	12 July, 2011	31 May, 2012
Time	11:00 am	8:45 am	10:45 am
Sugarbeet Stage	4-5 leaf	8-12 leaf	2 leaf
Air Temperature (F)	66	65	61
Relative Humidity (%)	54	40	40
Wind Velocity (mph) & Dir.	4 North	10 North	4 Northwest
Soil Temperature (F at 5")	59	68	55
Soil Moisture	good	good	Good
Cloud Cover (%)	25	0	15

Table 3. Effect of fungicide, insecticide, and herbicide tank mixes on sugarbeet at Prosper, ND in 2011.

Treatment	Rate in fl oz/A	Yield	Sugar	Extractable Sucrose
		Ton/A	%	lb/A
1 Untreated Check		18.8	12.5	4093
2 Quadris	15.4	19.1	12.8	4298
3 Headline	9	19.2	12.8	4292
4 Proline + NIS	5.7 + 0.125% v/v	18.5	12.2	3867
5 Quadris + Lorsban Advanced	15.4 + 32	18.1	12.5	3959
6 Headline + Lorsban Advanced	9 + 32	18.1	12.9	4082
7 Proline + NIS + Lorsban Advanced	5.7 + 0.125% v/v + 32	17.4	13.5	4189
8 Quadris + Mustang Max	15.4 + 4	17.6	12.9	3960
9 Headline + Mustang Max	9 + 4	17.1	13.5	4126
10 Proline + NIS + Mustang Max	5.7 + 0.125% v/v + 4	17.6	13.2	4159
11 Quadris + Lorsban Advanced + R.U. PowerMax ¹	15.4 + 32 + 32	17.3	12.4	3753
12 Headline + Lorsban Advanced + R.U. PowerMax	9 + 32 + 32	17.8	12.4	3852
13 Proline + NIS + Lorsban Advanced + R.U. PowerMax	5.7 + 0.125% v/v + 32+ 32	17.0	12.6	3777
14 Quadris + Mustang Max + R.U. PowerMax	15.4 + 4 + 32	17.2	12.8	3892
15 Headline + Mustang Max + R.U. PowerMax	9 + 4 + 32	17.5	12.7	3905
16 Proline + NIS + Mustang Max + R.U. PowerMax	5.7 + 0.125% v/v + 4 + 32	17.8	12.4	3846
17 Quadris + R.U. PowerMax + Stinger	15.4 + 32 + 4	19.4	12.3	4114
18 Quadris + R.U. PowerMax + Stinger + Lorsban Advanced	15.4 + 32 + 4 + 32	16.7	12.7	3687
19 Quadris + R.U. PowerMax + Select Max	15.4 + 32 + 12	18.3	13.0	4177
20 Quadris + R.U. PowerMax + Select Max + Lorsban Advanced	15.4 + 32 + 12 + 32	16.5	12.7	3697
	LSD (p≤0.05)	1.7	NS	NS

¹ R.U. PowerMax was always applied with NIS at 0.25% v/v + AMS at 14.5 lb/100 gal

Table 4. Sugarbeet phytotoxicity from Fungicide, Insecticide and Herbicide Tank Mixes at Foxhome, MN in 2012

Treatment and rate/A	Root yield (t/A)	Sucrose concen- tration (%)	Recoverable sucrose		Net Rev (\$/A)*
			(lb/t)	(lb/A)	
Headline 9 fl oz	34.1	19.3	359	12,211	2,408
Untreated Check	33.8	19.5	359	12,118	2,424
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 ai/100 gal + Stinger 4 fl oz	33.5	19.7	361	12,093	2,325
Proline 5.7 fl oz + Mustang Max 4 fl oz + NIS 0.125% v/v	33.3	19.6	362	12,057	2,371
Headline 9 fl oz + Mustang Max 4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100 gal	32.4	19.8	365	11,851	2,302
Proline 5.7 fl oz + Mustang Max 4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100 gal	32.4	19.7	365	11,831	2,299
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + Mustang Max 4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100gal	33.2	19.1	353	11,712	2,257
Proline 5.7 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100 gal	32.5	19.6	360	11,698	2,269
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100 gal + Select Max 12 fl oz	33.2	19.1	350	11,602	2,231
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + Mustang Max 4 fl oz	32.4	19.4	357	11,552	2,253
Proline 5.7 fl oz + NIS 0.125% v/v	33.2	19.0	348	11,546	2,275
Proline 5.7 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz + NIS 0.125% v/v	32.0	19.3	358	11,439	2,243
Headline 9 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100 gal	32.3	19.4	355	11,412	2,210
Headline 9 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz	32.7	18.9	348	11,380	2,232
Quadris 15.4 fl oz	32.3	19.1	350	11,318	2,213
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100 gal	30.7	20.0	369	11,301	2,171
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz	32.6	18.8	346	11,289	2,196
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100gal + Select Max 12 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz	31.5	19.3	355	11,169	2,133
Headline 9 fl oz + Mustang Max 4 fl oz	31.8	18.8	345	10,977	2,155
Quadris 15.4 fl oz + PowerMax 32 fl oz + NIS 0.25% v/v + Amstick 14.5 lb ai/100gal + Stinger 4 fl oz + Lorsban Advanced 32 fl oz	31.3	19.2	351	10,927	2,081
LSD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	169

* Net Revenue calculated by multiplying Recoverable Sucrose (lb/A) by \$0.20 and subtracting estimated pesticide costs and application costs