SUGARBEET PROFITABILITY AS AFFECTED BY PLANTING DATE, NITROGEN RATE, VARIETY AND HARVEST DATE

Larry J. Smith, Head, Todd E. Cymbaluk and Jeffrey D. Nielsen, Assistant Scientists, University of Minnesota, Northwest Research and Outreach Center, Crookston, MN

Climatic conditions aside, the variety (V) planted, the nitrogen (N) level and the harvest date (HD), assuming other cultural and chemical practices are at optimum, will affect the profitability of a given field. Pre-pile harvest quality is historically low. To offset this, producers have suggested planting high-sugar type varieties and reducing nitrogen rates on this acreage. The advent of easy to use variable rate technology has resulted in reduced N levels on headlands, but not in the field openings to any degree. Planters capable of varying varieties, without cleaning and refilling seed hoppers, are not yet available and thus not practical. Some producers now plant higher-sugar, lower-tonnage type varieties, and may vary N rate, depending on when a particular field is to be harvested during pre-pile or regular harvest to maximize profitability. Previous research by this author has shown that high-sugar type varieties vs. high-tonnage, lower-sugar content varieties harvested early gave the highest gross return per acre. On the other hand, the high-tonnage, lower-sugar types harvested later in the harvest season, have given equal or greater gross returns.

Rhizomania has now spread across most of the sugarbeet growing regions of MN and ND. The degree and severity of this devastating disease on sugarbeet yield and quality varies greatly. In the southern Minnesota beet growing region, over 85% of the varieties planted are rhizomania resistant or tolerant. In the northern part of the Red River Valley, few producers plant these types of varieties as the disease is new to them, and there is a general concern that planting resistant or tolerant rhizomania varieties will reduce their return, due to lower sugar content and yield in the absence of rhizomania or weather conditions conducive for its full affect.

In 2003, a study was initiated at the Northwest Research and Outreach Center, Crookston, MN to determine how planting varieties differing in the level of rhizomania resistance, as well as yield and quality, at different N rates, and different harvest dates would affect profitability under non-rhizomania conditions. The 2004 study was altered with the addition of planting dates. This resulted from severe crusting and resulting poor emergence of the rhizomania varieties in some replications.

Methods and Materials: Nitrogen rates of 66%, 100% and 133% of the recommended N rates (87, 130, and 173 lb/A total N- 0-4ft respectively) were spring applied as urea. Two rhizomania resistant (tolerant) varieties Hilleshog 2469 and VDH 46177 differing in quality, and Crystal 999 (susceptible check) were planted May 4 and June 3, 2004. All cultural, herbicide, insecticide and fungicide treatments were applied to insure maximum yield and quality. Harvest dates were September 29 and October 12. Quality traits were determined at the ACSC Quality Laboratory, East Grand Forks, MN.

Results and Discussion: The main effects of harvest date (HD), nitrogen level (N), and variety (V) at both planting dates are shown in <u>Tables 1-3</u>. On the May 4 planting date, HD and V showed statistically significant increases in the variables recoverable sucrose/A (RSA), recoverable sucrose/T (RST), yield, and % sucrose. N level significantly affected all variables except yield. There were no HD x V, N x V, HD x V x N interactions. On the June 3 planting date, similar results were obtained.

On the May 4 planting, yield increased less than 0.1 ton/A per day between the Sept. 27 and Oct. 12 harvests. Sucrose % and RST increased 0.127% and 2.51 lb respectively. The June 3 planting showed similar increases. The surprising gains in sucrose % was the main factor for increases in gross return/a, between harvest dates, of \$260/a and \$204/a for the May 4 and June 23 plantings respectively (Table 1).

Increasing the N rate from 66% to 133% (87-173 lb/A total N 0-4ft) of recommended failed to increase yield. Many have speculated that the cool-wet summer experienced in 2004, reduced N mineralization and extra N was needed to maximize yield (<u>Table 2</u>). Results of this trial, regardless of planting date, do not support such conclusions. RSA, RST, % sucrose and gross return/A all decreased significantly and LTM increased significantly as N rates were increased from the reduced rate (66%) to the excess rate (133%). The June 3 planting also showed significant reduction or increases in these variables between the reduced rate and recommended N rate (100%).

The main effects of V are shown in <u>Table 3</u>. Crystal 999 produced significantly more RSA than the two rhizomania varieties. VDH 46177 produced significantly more RSA than Hilleshog 2469 at the May 4 planting, but equal amounts with the June 3 planting. This is consistent with other similar research by the author relative to the length of time between planting and harvest with the Van der Have varieties. VDH 46177 also had significantly higher RST and % sucrose than Hilleshog 2469 at both plantings, while the Hilleshog variety had higher yield at the June 3 planting.

Individual V, N, HD, and PD are shown in <u>Table 4</u>. The most noteworthy factor in the table is the reduction in RSA with VDH 46177 on the June 3 planting compared to the May 4 planting.

In summary, there were no interactions with the main variables nitrogen rate and harvest date across varieties, that is, all three varieties reacted in similar fashion regardless of increasing N rates or harvest date. The yield and sucrose % obtained from the June 3 planting was surprising considering the weather conditions of 2004.

Table 1. Main effects of HD (ave over V and N levels) on yield and quality.

		RSA	RST	Yield	Sucrose	LTM	Gross Return
Planting Date	Harvest Date	(lb/A)	(lb/T)	(T/A)	(%)	(%)	(\$/A)
May 4	Sept. 27	6985	299.1	23.3	16.00	1.05	757
	Oct. 12	8394	336.6	24.9	17.90	1.07	1017
	LSD ₀₅	452	5.7	1.4	0.27	NS	
luna 2	Cont 27	COCE	202.6	20.6	15 74	1.06	644
June 3	Sept. 27 Oct. 12	6065 7131	293.6 328.7	20.6 21.7	15.74 17.56	1.06 1.13	644 848
		-				_	040
	LSD ₀₅	342	16.7	0.3	0.83	NS	

Table 2. Main effects of N rate (ave over HD and V) on yield and quality.

Table 2. Maii	Circoto oi it iat	<u> </u>	iib ana ti	on yiola an	<u>a quanty.</u>		
		RSA	RST	Yield	Sucrose	LTM	Gross Return
Planting Date	N Rate	(lb/A)	(lb/T)	(T/A)	(%)	(%)	(\$/A)
May 4	66 %	7966	325.8	24.4	17.24	0.96	938
	100%	7737	316.7	24.4	16.93	1.10	888
	133%	7366	311.1	23.6	16.68	1.12	830
	LSD ₀₅	292	7.2	NS	0.32	0.07	
June 3	66 %	6866	321.6	21.3	17.10	1.02	799
ounc o	100%	6500	308.7	21.2	16.55	1.12	734
	133%	6427	303.3	21.0	16.31	1.14	702
	LSD ₀₅	298	10.6	NS	0.50	0.07	

Table 3. Main effects of V (ave over HD and N) on yield and quality.

Table 5. Illa	bic 5. Main chects of V (ave over 110 and 14) on yield and quality.									
Planting		RSA	RST	Yield	Sucrose	LTM	Gross Return			
Date	Variety	(lb/A)	(lb/T)	(T/A)	(%)	(%)	(\$/A)			
May 4	Crystal 999	8124	326.2	24.9	17.37	1.06	959			
	VDH 46177	7765	326.1	23.8	17.29	0.99	916			
	Hilleshog 2469	7178	301.2	23.8	16.19	1.13	784			
	LSD ₀₅	195	5.4	0.6	0.25	0.04				
June 3	Crystal 999	6858	318.9	21.5	17.05	1.11	793			
	VDH 46177	6499	316.7	20.5	16.88	1.13	746			
	Hilleshob 2469	6436	298.0	21.6	16.03	1.04	696			
	LSD ₀₅	260	5.1	0.5	0.24	0.05				

Table 4. Variety, nitrogen and harvest date effects on yield, quality and return.

Table 4. Variety, nitrogen and harvest date effects on yield, quality and return.									
May 4 Planting									
	N rate % of	Harvest	RSA	RST	Yield	Sucrose	LTM	Gross Return	
Variety	recommended	Date	(lb/A)	(lb/T)	T/A	(%)	(%)	\$/a	
VDH46177	66	9/29	7178	313.3	22.9	16.57	0.90	817	
	66	10/12	8721	348.0	25.1	18.27	0.87	1087	
	100	9/29	7233	307.3	23.5	16.40	1.03	808	
	100	10/12	8506	344.0	24.7	18.20	1.00	1051	
	133	9/29	6707	303.3	22.1	16.27	1.10	739	
	133	10/12	8247	340.7	24.2	18.07	1.03	1011	
Hilleshog 2469	66	9/29	7068	294.7	24.0	15.73	1.00	756	
J	66	10/12	7957	324.0	24.6	17.27	1.07	934	
	100	9/29	6396	276.7	23.1	15.03	1.20	635	
	100	10/12	8030	323.3	24.8	17.33	1.17	941	
	133	9/29	6117	278.0	22.0	14.97	1.07	612	
	133	10/12	7502	310.7	24.2	16.83	1.30	847	
Crystal 999	66	9/29	7551	317.3	23.8	16.80	0.93	869	
•	66	10/12	9319	357.3	26.1	18.83	0.97	1185	
	100	9/29	7447	298.0	25.0	16.03	1.13	805	
	100	10/12	8810	350.7	25.1	18.60	1.07	1105	
	133	9/29	7168	303.3	23.6	16.27	1.10	790	
	133	10/12	8453	330.7	25.6	17.67	1.13	1010	

			June 3	Planting				
Variety	N rate % of recommended	Harvest Date	RSA (lb/A)	RST (lb/T)	Yield T/A	Sucrose (%)	LTM (%)	Gross Return \$/A
VDH46177	66 66	9/29 10/12	6329 7234	307.3 349.3	20.6 20.7	16.40 18.37	1.03 0.90	707 905
	100	9/29	5984	301.3	19.9	16.07	1.00	655
	100	10/12	6839	326.7	20.9	17.53	1.20	809
	133	9/29	5887	290.7	20.2	15.57	1.03	620
	133	10/12	6722	324.7	20.7	17.33	1.10	791
Hilleshog 2469	66 66	9/29 10/12	5946 7321	286.7 329.3	20.8 22.2	15.37 17.57	1.03 1.10	616 872
	100	9/29	5650	275.3	20.5	14.87	1.10	558
	100	10/12	6959	314.0	22.2	16.87	1.17	793
	133	9/29	57741	273.3	21.0	14.83	1.17	563
	133	10/12	6999	309.3	22.6	16.70	1.23	787
Crystal 999	66 66	9/29 10/12	6589 7775	311.2 345.3	21.2 22.5	16.60 18.33	1.03 1.07	746 963
	100	9/29	6197	303.3	20.4	16.33	1.07	683
	100	10/12	7372	331.3	22.2	17.73	1.17	883
	133	9/29	6257	293.3	21.3	15.77	1.10	666
	133	10/12	6956	328.7	21.2	17.67	1.23	827